## Unsupervised Multi-Domains Adaptation for Semantic Segmentation of Very High Resolution Aerial Images

Candidate: Valerio Marsocci 13/01/2023 Institut Géographique National Supervisors: prof. Clement Mallet Nicolas Gonthier, Ph.D. Anatol Garioud, Ph.D. prof. Simone Scardapane



# Think of a **climate mitigation** project

### What do we need?



# Land cover map to avoid land consumption

### What if we do not have it?

# What if there is a **domain shift**?



Ground truth

Prediction

### **Unsupervised Domain Adaptation** can help us





Ground truth

Prediction

### What we will see

- 1. Unsupervised Domain Adaptation
- 2. FLAIR Dataset
- 3. Widespread methodologies
- 4. Our methodology
- 5. Conclusions



In this space you will find the

**TAKEAWAYS!** 









## Unsupervised Domain Adaptation (UDA)

Almost what we have already seen



### Definition

**"Unsupervised Domain Adaptation** is a learning framework to transfer knowledge learned from source domains with a large number of annotated training examples to target domains with unlabeled data only"



UDA.





#### Datasets



Office-Home

classification

#### synthetic to real



### To date no dataset for UDA for EO



Foggy Cityscapes



Cityscapes





GTA5

### **Open questions**

Still a lot to do in UDA (especially for RS)

• **few** data (and few methods) for "**many domains**" UDA for semantic segmentation

 few proper data (and few methods) for EO UDA



(a) Vaihingen image

(b) Ground truth

(c) Potsdam image

(d) Ground truth

### **FLAIR Dataset**

A huge multi-domains dataset for EO semantic segmentation



French Land cover from Aerospace ImageRy

- 1725-1800 patches per each domain (50)
- 512x512 pixel per patch with 25 cm GSD

• 5 bands (RGB + IR + elevation)

• 19 classes

One of the first, huge dataset for UDA for RS



### Metadata

### CV/EO datasets are not only made of images

```
• domain info
```

- the geo **coordinates** (XY) of the centroid and the mean altitude (Z)
- the **date** and **hour** of the acquisition
- the **camera** type

```
{"IMG_000717":
{"domain": "D004_2021", "zone": "Z8_NF",
"patch_centroid_x": 924009.6,
"patch_centroid_y": 6339451.2,
"patch_centroid_z": 1661.9399414062,
"date": "2021-05-28",
"time": "08h06",
"camera": "UCE-M3-f120-s07"},
```

### Image level analysis

## High intra- and inter-domain variance at image level





## Widespread Methodologies

Spoiler: transformers are the best



### AdaptSegNet

## Adversarial training reduces domain shift





### DAFormer

### Sampling rare classes and using ImageNet features help



### UDA for RS

## EO data need their own customized models



## **Our methodology**

or How I learnt to use geo coords



### The data we used

#### we used a RGB subset

- 10 domains for training (D06, D08, D13, D17, D23, D29, D33, D58, D67, D74)
- 3 domains for testing (D64, D68, D71)
- RGB channels

in UDA we use Xs, XT and Ys



### **Starting with the baseline**



### **Constraining the features**

some easy strategy (e.g. style loss) can improve performance



| net         | mloU (%) |
|-------------|----------|
| baseline    | 38.82    |
| +style loss | 39.83    |





### Using geo metadata

| net         | mloU (%) | params (M) |
|-------------|----------|------------|
| baseline    | 38.82    | 1.9        |
| +style loss | 39.83    | 1.9        |
| GeoMT_base  | 40.22    | 270        |

## EO data need their own customized models





### Using time metadata

| more metadata ≠ | better results |
|-----------------|----------------|
|-----------------|----------------|

| net         | mloU (%) | params (M) |
|-------------|----------|------------|
| baseline    | 38.82    | 1.9        |
| +style loss | 39.83    | 1.9        |
| GeoMT_base  | 40.22    | 270        |
| TimeGeoMT   | 35.25    | 405        |



### Geo metadata

| net                    | noise<br>(km) | 1/frequency<br>(-) | mloU<br>(%) | params (M) |
|------------------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|
| baseline               | -             | -                  | 38.82       | 1.9        |
| GeoMT_base             | -             | 10000              | 40.22       | 270        |
| GeoMT_noise            | ±30           | 10000              | 40.33       | 270        |
| GeoMT_noise_lowerfrq   | ±30           | 20000              | 41.38       | 270        |
| GeoMT_noisier_lowerfrq | ±50           | 20000              | 39.4        | 270        |

### less precise geoinfo are beneficial

| (E, | $\mathbf{N})$ |
|-----|---------------|
|     |               |

- center the coordinates (EPSG:2154)
- [NEW] add noise
- transform to positional encodings ([NEW] with a lower frequency)

### **Finally shaping the multitask** module $Y_{s}$ $X_{S}$ E D

#### reducing the number of parameters



# Finally shaping the multitask module

reducing the number of parameters



### Scaling up the model

size doesn't matter that much

| net             | mloU (%) | params (M) |
|-----------------|----------|------------|
| baseline        | 38.82    | 1.9        |
| GeoMT_UNet      | 41.26    | 3.3        |
| GeoMT_ResUNet18 | 43.29    | 32.7       |
| GeoMT_ResUNet34 | 42.76    | 38.9       |
| GeoMT_ResUNet50 | 41.03    | 60.1       |

### Comparison

| net         | mloU (%) | params (M) |
|-------------|----------|------------|
| AdaptSegNet | 23.05    | 99         |
| ADVENT      | 12.8     | 99         |
| DAFormer    | 42.10    | 85         |
| UDA_for_RS  | 43.41    | 85         |
| ours        | 43.29    | 33         |

### Conclusions

if you fell asleep, please awake now



### Conclusions

• UDA is a really useful task, slightly **under investigated** in EO

• **FLAIR** is a huge, interesting, **real-world** EO semantic segmentation dataset

• using **metadata** in a good way could boost the model

• scaling up to the whole dataset with new models and idea would be fruitful

#### Some literature references:

Yi-Hsuan Tsai\*, Wei-Chih Hung\*, Samuel Schulter, Kihyuk Sohn, Ming-Hsuan Yang and Manmohan Chandraker, Learning to Adapt Structured Output Space for Semantic Segmentation, IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2018

Tuan-Hung Vu, Himalaya Jain, Maxime Bucher, Matthieu Cord, Patrick Pérez, ADVENT: Adversarial Entropy Minimization for Domain Adaptation in Semantic Segmentation, IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2019

Hoyer, Lukas and Dai, Dengxin and Van Gool, Luc, DAFormer: Improving Network Architectures and Training Strategies for Domain-Adaptive Semantic Segmentation, Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2022

Li, W.; Gao, H.; Su, Y.; Momanyi, B.M. Unsupervised Domain Adaptation for Remote Sensing Semantic Segmentation with Transformer. Remote Sens. 2022, 14, 4942. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14194942

Anatol Garioud, Stephane Peillet, Sebastien Giordano, FLAIR: French Land cover from Aerial ImageRy, 2022

Gatys, L. A., Ecker, A. S., & Bethge, M. (2015). A neural algorithm of artistic style. arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.06576.

Kumar Ayush, Burak Uzkent, Chenlin Meng, Kumar Tanmay, Marshall Burke, David Lobell, and Stefano Ermon. Geography-aware self-supervised learning. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision 2021

Baudoux, Luc and Inglada, Jordi and Mallet, Clément, Toward a Yearly Country-Scale CORINE Land-Cover Map without Using Images: A Map Translation Approach, Remote Sensing, 2021

### Time for <u>questions</u>, <u>remarks</u> and <u>ideas</u>!

### Thank you!

me flexing 0.1% gain of my model

me at my wedding

